1	1 WO		
2	2		
3	3		
4	4		
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
8	8		
9	9 United States of America,) No. 13-3033	BM	
10	Plaintiff, DETENTION	ON ORDER	
11	11 vs. (
12	12 Juan Ignacio Sanchez-Padilla,		
13	Defendant.		
14			
15		21/12/f) a datantian hasning year	
16			
17	17	held on January 23, 2013. Defendant was present and was represented by counsel. I conclude	
18	by a preponderance of the evidence the Defendant is a serious flight risk and the detention		
19	of the Defendant pending trial is appropriate.		
20	20	FINDINGS OF FACT	
21	I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:	I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:	
22			
23	Defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for		
24	permanent residence.		
25	Defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the Office States		
26	illegally. The defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
27	The defendant has previously been deported	The defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.Defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District	
28	of Arizona.		

I

1	Defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might	
2	make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
3	Defendant has a prior criminal history.	
4	Defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
5	Defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or	
	in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
6	Defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law	
7	enforcement.	
8	Defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.	
10	The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services	
11	Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter,	
12	except as noted in the record.	
13	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
14	1. There is a serious risk that Defendant will flee if released from custody, and	
15	2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the	
16	appearance of Defendant at future court proceedings as required.	
17	DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
18	Defendant is committed to the custody of the United States Attorney General or	
19	his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the	
20	extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody	
21	pending appeal. Defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private	
22	consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request	
23	of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall	
24	deliver Defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in	
25	connection with a court proceeding.	
26	APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
27	IT IS ORDERED that should a review of this detention order be filed pursuant to	
-,		

28

18 U.S.C. § 3145, it is defense counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the assigned District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), Fed.R.Crim.P. (2010), Defendant shall have **fourteen (14) days** from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. Rule 59(a), Fed.R.Crim.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the issue of detention may be reopened at any time before trial upon a finding that information exists that was not known to the movant at the time of the detention hearing and such information has a material bearing on the issue whether there are conditions of release that will reasonably assure the appearance of Defendant as required and/or the safety of any other person or the community. Title 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 23rd day of January, 2013.

Lawrence O. Anderson United States Magistrate Judge